



FAKE NEWS AND WHAT WE CAN DO ABOUT IT

There has been a lot of talk lately about “fake news” because it has been particularly prevalent during the recent 2016 Presidential election campaign. According to a recent Pew Research Center study, 62% of Americans get their news from social media sites and 44% get their news specifically from Facebook. Nearly 90% of millennials regularly get news from Facebook. In addition, a recent study from Stanford University revealed that many teens have difficulty analyzing the news; 82% of middle school students surveyed couldn’t tell the difference between an ad labeled “sponsored content” and a legitimate news story.

This lesson provides an opportunity for students to learn what fake news is, differentiate it from other types of news (including satirical, misleading and tabloid news), develop strategies for spotting fake news and consider what can be done about the proliferation of fake news.

See these additional ADL resources: *Current Events Classroom* lessons “[Outsmarting Propaganda: Combatting the Lure of Extremist Recruitment Strategies](#)” and “[Let's Talk about the Presidential Election, Helping Students Make Sense of News Stories About Bias and Injustice](#)” and [Let's Talk Politics: Bias, Dialogue and Critical Thinking](#).

Grade Level: grades 9-12

Time: 45 minutes

Common Core Anchor Standards: Reading, Writing, Speaking and Listening

Learning Objectives:

- Students will reflect on their own experiences with and preferences of their news sources.
- Students will understand what “fake news” is and identify strategies for differentiating real and fake news.
- Students will explore what can be done to be better consumers of news and what else they can do for their school, community and society about fake news.

Compelling Question: What is news and how can we determine what is real and what is fake?

Material:

- Six signs distributed around the room, with the following words, one on each sign: (1) Social Media (Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, SnapChat, YouTube), (2) Online News Website, (3) Television News, (4) Radio/Podcasts, (5) Newspaper/Magazine, and (6) Friends and Family

- [Example of News #1-3](#) (to be projected on board/smart board or make one copy for each student)
- [How To Spot Fake News](#) (one copy for each student)

Vocabulary:

Review the following vocabulary words and make sure students know their meanings. (See ADL's "[Glossary of Education Terms](#).")

- bogus
- evidence
- resurgence
- byline
- fact-checked
- satire
- confirmation bias
- legitimate
- sources
- debunk
- misleading
- skeptical
- deceptive
- predisposed
- unreliable
- distortion
- provocative

WHAT IS NEWS AND WHERE DO WE GET IT?

1. Ask students: *What is news?* Elicit a definition of **news** as a printed, broadcast or digital (i.e. technological) report of factual information about important events in the world, country or local area." You can print this on the board/smart board if you think it would be helpful.
2. Ask students: *Where do you get your news?* Explain that we get our news from a variety of sources and show students that some of those sources are written on pieces of paper around the classroom. Read aloud the six signs as follows: (1) Social Media (Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, SnapChat, YouTube), (2) Online News Website, (3) Television News, (4) Radio/Podcasts, (5) Newspaper/Magazines and (6) Friends and Family. Answer any questions students may have about the categories. Then have students think for a minute about the news source where they get the most news or one that they like the best. Ask them to move to the part of the room with the sign designating their preferred news source. Give a few minutes for students to situate themselves.
3. When students are in their chosen parts of the room, have them talk with each other about (1) why they like using that news source and (2) what are some of the limitations/negatives of that news source. Have them designate one person as the recorder to report back to the rest of the class what they discussed in their groups.
4. Have each group report back to the class what they discussed in their groups, focusing on why they like their chosen news source and identifying its limitations/negatives.
5. Engage in a brief discussion by asking: *What did you notice about the different news sources, what we liked and the limitations of each? After hearing about the other news sources, did it make you feel differently about the news source you picked (please explain)?*

TURN AND TALK: REAL NEWS OR FAKE NEWS

1. Explain to students that there has been a lot of talk lately about "fake news," especially around the 2016 Presidential Election. Ask students: What is fake news? What is a fake news site? Elicit and explain that fake news websites publish untrue or fake information in order to drive web traffic to the site. The goal

is to mislead readers to believe the stories and to make money through advertising. Social media sites are used to spread the fake news. Also, explain that there are some fake news sites that contain factual news stories that are used to camouflage the fact that other news stories are untrue and fake.

2. Share examples of fake news and real news by projecting [Example of News #1-3](#) on the board/smart board and/or download and print out the news stories and distribute copies to each student. After showing each example, have students jot down the title of the news story, whether they think it’s fake or real, and 2–3 reasons for why. As you share the website, make sure to scroll around the website and highlight the web address, logo, contact information, etc. to give students a sense of everything on the website in order to best assess it.
3. After going through each of the examples, have students turn and talk to a person sitting next to them and together, come up with a general list of how they know a news story is real and why they might suspect a news story is fake. They can create a chart for recording their answers as follows:

How You Know It’s Real	Why You Suspect/Know It’s Fake

NOTE: Example #1 is real and Examples #2 and #3 are fake. After the turn and talk, you can tell students which are fake and which are real. Some of the clues include: the logo, the web address, the contact information and website, the links.

4. Engage students in a discussion by asking the following question:
 - Was it easy or difficult to determine whether the news was fake or real? Please explain.
 - What were some clues that the news was not true?
 - How did you feel when you found a news story was fake if you originally thought it was real?

INFORMATION SHARING

Share some or all of the following information with students:

- There is a difference between (1) **fake news**, which is explained above, (2) **misleading news**, which often contains some truth including a fact, event or quote that has been taken out of context; these can be difficult to debunk, (3) **satirical news**, which will often cover current events and then satirize the tone and content of traditional news, using humor, sarcasm and falsities; a good example of satire news is *The Onion*. Satirical news does not intend to mislead and profit from readers believing the stories as true, and (4) **tabloid news**, which is a style of news that emphasizes sensational crime stories, gossip columns about celebrities.
- According to a recent [Pew Research Center study](#), 62% of Americans get their news from social media and 44% get their news from Facebook specifically. Of those who get news on at least one of the social media sites, the majority (64%) get their news on just one platform, most commonly Facebook. In addition, YouTube, Facebook and Instagram news users are more likely to get their news online mostly “by chance,” while they are online doing other things. Nearly 90% of millennials regularly get news from Facebook.

- A recent study called [Evaluating Information: The Cornerstone of Civic Online](#) revealed that teenagers may have some difficulty analyzing the news. 82% of middle school students surveyed couldn't tell the difference between an ad labeled "sponsored content" and a legitimate news story.
- Fake news has been particularly prevalent during the recent 2016 Presidential election campaign. The top Google news link for "final election results" was from a fake-news site called "70 News" which "reported" that Donald Trump had won both the electoral and popular vote. The Washington Post pointed out that it isn't true. New web sites designed to trick and mislead people pop up every day.
- Fake news creators make money in very similar ways from how traditional news companies make money, from advertisements. They have display advertising for which they receive a small portion (i.e. a few cents) for every person who visits that page. Their goal is to get the news to go viral so a lot of people will visit; more social shares mean more page views which result in more money. Among a growing group of Macedonian teenagers, the most successful of those creating fake news sites can earn up to \$5,000 a month.
- Because a lot of the fake news appears and is shared through Google and Facebook, they have taken steps to do something about it. Google announced that it will prohibit "misrepresentative content" from appearing on its advertising network. Facebook says it will not place ads from fake news publishers on third party apps or websites, because the content falls under the broader category of "illegal, misleading or deceptive" content.

READING ACTIVITY

1. Distribute to each student a copy of the article "[How to Spot Fake News](#)" and give students 10–15 minutes to read it silently (do not assign for homework the night before).
2. After students have read the article, engage them in a class discussion by asking the following questions:
3. What did you learn that you didn't know before?
 - Have you ever used any of the strategies discussed in the article? Please explain.
 - Why do you think fake news is created?
 - What are the dangers of fake news?
 - How might you think differently about news after reading the article?

WHAT CAN WE DO ABOUT FAKE NEWS?

Ask students: *What can we do about fake news? What can we do individually and what might we do with others in our school or larger community?* Create a brainstormed list which may include some of the following and divide the ideas into two categories—"What I can do to spot fake news?" and "What I can do to educate my school, community and society about fake news?"

- Triple check news sources
- Look for clues
- Teach others how to spot fake news

- Use only certain news sources
- Google the news story and see if it is included on other news sources that I know
- Don't get news only from social media
- Write letters to social media sites to get them to crack down on fake news
- Use fact-checking websites such as Snopes.com, FactCheck.org, *The Washington Post* Fact Checker and PolitiFact.com

If time permits, talk about what steps would need to be taken to implement some of these ideas.

WRITING ACTIVITY

Have students write a short synopsis about what they learned about fake news, their best strategies for spotting fake news and/or what we can do as individuals or as a community/society about fake news. They should write their piece as either a Facebook post (that they are sharing with their followers, to inform them) or as a short blog post (which then you could publish later on a class blog). Have students complete their writing as a homework assignment.

CLOSING

Have students share their writing with the class and if not completed, share the first few sentences.

ADDITIONAL READING

- [“5 stunning fake news stories that reached millions”](#) (CNN Money, November 2, 2016)
- [“Facebook’s fake news problem, explained”](#) (Vox, November 16, 2016)
- [“For the ‘new yellow journalists,’ opportunity comes in clicks and bucks”](#) (*The Washington Post*, November 20, 2016)
- [“How to Spot Fake News”](#) (FactCheck.Org, November 18, 2016)
- [“How to Stop the Spread of Fake News”](#) (*The New York Times* Room for Debate, November 22, 2016)
- [“Google, Facebook react to fake news websites”](#) (Newsela, November 19, 2016)
- [“Many teens have trouble spotting fake news, but it's not as bad as it sounds”](#) (*The Christian Science Monitor*, November 22, 2016)
- [News Use Across Social Media Platforms 2016](#) (Pew Research Center, May 26, 2016)
- [“This is how Facebook’s fake-news writers make money”](#) (*The Washington Post*, November 18, 2016)

COMMON CORE ANCHOR STANDARDS

Content Area/Standard
Reading
Standard 1: Read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it; cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text.
Writing
Standard 2: Write informative/explanatory texts to examine and convey complex ideas and information clearly and accurately through the effective selection, organization and analysis of content.
Standard 6: Use technology, including the Internet, to produce and publish writing and to interact and collaborate with others.
Speaking and Listening
Standard 1: Prepare for and participate effectively in a range of conversations and collaborations with diverse partners building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively.
Standard 2: Integrate and evaluate information presented in diverse media and formats, including visually, quantitatively and orally.

EXAMPLE OF NEWS #1



SECTIONS


NIGHTLY NEWS

MSNBC

MEET THE PRESS

DATETIME

TODAY



STORYLINE > CHARLESTON CHURCH SHOOTING

NEWS

CHARLESTON CHURCH SHOOTING

NOV 28 2016, 12:53 PM ET

Dylann Roof, Charleston Church Shooting Suspect, Can Act as His Own Attorney

by THE ASSOCIATED PRESS

SHARE



Share



Tweet



Share



Email



Print



Comment

CHARLESTON, S.C. — A white man accused of fatally shooting nine black parishioners at a Charleston church last year was allowed to act as his own attorney in his federal death penalty trial Monday.

Dylann Roof's request came against his lawyers' advice, and U.S. District Judge Richard Gergel said he would reluctantly accept the 22-year-old's "unwise" decision.

Death penalty attorney David Bruck then slid over and let Roof take the lead chair. The lawyers can stand by and help Roof if he asks.

The development came the same day jury selection resumed in the case. The selection process was halted Nov. 7 after lawyers for Roof questioned his ability to understand the case against him. Judge Gergel's ruling last week cleared the way for Monday's process to begin anew.



FROM THE WEB

Sponsored Links

Source: "Dylann Roof, Charleston Church Shooting Suspect, Can Act as His Own Attorney," November 28, 2016, The Associated Press, www.nbcnews.com/storyline/charleston-church-shooting/dylann-roof-charleston-church-shooting-suspect-can-act-his-own-n689151

EXAMPLE OF NEWS #2

BLOG FORUMS CONTACT



NEWS FASHION TECH VIDEO WORLD

Home > News > Obama Signs Executive Order Banning The National Anthem At All Sporting Events...

NEWS

Obama Signs Executive Order Banning The National Anthem At All Sporting Events Nationwide

By Jimmy Rustling, ABC News - November 11, 2016 1421 4

SHARE



Recent Comments

DOCS on *Gay Wedding Mobile Vans Cashing In On The Legalization Of Gay Marriage*

eric turner on *Obama Signs Executive Order Declaring Investigation Into Election Results; Revote Planned For Dec. 19th*

friols on *Obama Signs Executive Order Declaring Investigation Into Election Results; Revote Planned For Dec. 19th*

Brian on *Obama Signs Executive Order Declaring Investigation Into Election Results; Revote Planned For Dec. 19th*

Source: "Obama Signs Executive Order Banning The National Anthem At All Sporting Events Nationwide" by Jimmy Rustling, ABC News, November 11, 2016, <http://abcnews.com.co/obama-signs-executive-order-banning-national-anthem/>

EXAMPLE OF NEWS #3

The screenshot shows the top of a National Report website. At the top, there are portraits of Ted Cruz and Sarah Palin with their names. The main header reads "NATIONAL REPORT" with the tagline "AMERICA'S #1 INDEPENDENT NEWS SOURCE". Below this is a navigation bar with categories: FRONT PAGE, POLITICS, MEDIA, WORLD, BUSINESS, ENTERTAINMENT, RELIGION, HEALTH, SPORTS, CRIME, and SCIENCE. There are also links for ADVERTISE WITH NR, FAN MAIL, MEDIA INQUIRIES, STAFF, CONTRIBUTE, and DISCLAIMER. Social media icons for RSS, EMAIL, and TWITTER are present. The breadcrumb trail reads: HOME > MEDIA > SHERIFF DAVID A. CLARKE OUTED AS KKK MEMBER IN ANONYMOUS HACK, ALLEGEDLY. The main article title is "Sheriff David A. Clarke Outed as KKK Member in Anonymous Hack, Allegedly". Below the title, it says "Posted about 1 year ago" and "7 comments". The article features a large photo of Sheriff David A. Clarke in a dark uniform with a star on his chest, saluting. Below the photo is the caption "(AP Photo/Mark Humphrey)". A short paragraph below the photo reads: "The 'hacktivist' group Anonymous, which last week vowed to expose Ku Klux Klan members, is starting to leak the names and personal details of alleged KKK adherents, according to news reports." To the right of the article is a "SUBSCRIBE TO NATIONAL REPORT" form with the text "Enter your email address to subscribe Report and receive notifications of new America's #1 Independent News Source". The form includes an "Email Address" input field and a "Subscribe" button. At the bottom right, there is a section titled "NATIONAL REPORT'S TRENDING".

Source: "Sheriff David A. Clarke Outed as KKK Member in Anonymous Hack, Allegedly" by Shane Paulson, National Report, November 2, 2015, <http://nationalreport.net/sheriff-david-clark-outed-kkk-member-anonymous-hack/>

HOW TO SPOT FAKE NEWS

By Lori Robertson and Eugene Kiely, FactCheck.org Posted on November 18, 2016

Fake news is nothing new. But bogus stories can reach more people more quickly via social media than what good old-fashioned viral emails could accomplish in years past.

Concern about the phenomenon led Facebook and Google [to announce](#) that they'll crack down on fake news sites, restricting their ability to garner ad revenue. Perhaps that could dissipate the amount of malarkey online, though news consumers themselves are the best defense against the spread of misinformation.

Not all of the misinformation being passed along online is complete fiction, though some of it is. Snopes.com has been exposing false viral claims since the mid 1990s, whether that's fabricated messages, distortions containing bits of truth and everything in between. Founder David Mikkelson [warned](#) in a Nov. 17 article not to lump everything into the "fake news" category. "The fictions and fabrications that comprise fake news are but a subset of the larger *bad news* phenomenon, which also encompasses many forms of shoddy, unresearched, error-filled, and deliberately misleading reporting that do a disservice to everyone," he wrote.

A lot of these viral claims aren't "news" at all, but fiction, satire and efforts to fool readers into thinking they're for real.

We've long encouraged readers to be skeptical of viral claims, and make good use of the delete key when a chain email hits their inboxes. In December 2007, we launched our Ask FactCheck feature, where we answer readers' questions, the vast majority of which concern viral emails, social media memes and the like. Our [first story](#) was about a made-up email that claimed then-House Speaker Nancy Pelosi wanted to put a "windfall" tax on all stock profits of 100 percent and give the money to, the email claimed, "the 12 Million Illegal Immigrants and other unemployed minorities." We called it "a malicious fabrication"—that's "fake news" in today's parlance.

In 2008, we tried to get readers to rid their inboxes of this kind of garbage. We described a list of red flags—[we called them Key Characteristics of Bogusness](#)—that were clear tip-offs that a chain email wasn't legitimate. Among them: an anonymous author; excessive exclamation points, capital letters and misspellings; entreaties that "This is NOT a hoax!"; and links to sourcing that does not support or completely contradicts the claims being made.

Those all still hold true, but fake stories—as in, completely made-up "news"—has grown more sophisticated, often presented on a site designed to look (sort of) like a legitimate news organization. Still, we find it's easy to figure out what's real and what's imaginary if you're armed with some critical thinking and fact-checking tools of the trade.

Here's our advice on how to spot a fake:

Consider the source. In recent months, we've fact-checked fake news from abcnews.com.co (not the actual URL for [ABC News](#)), WTOE 5 News (whose "about" page says it's "a fantasy news website"), and the Boston Tribune (whose "contact us" page lists only a gmail address). Earlier this year, we [debunked the claim](#) that the Obamas were buying a vacation home in Dubai, a made-up missive that came from WhatDoesItMean.com, which describes itself as "One Of The Top Ranked Websites In The World for New World Order, Conspiracy Theories and Alternative News" and further says on its site that most of what it publishes is fiction.

Clearly, some of these sites do provide a “fantasy news” or satire warning, like WTOE 5, which [published the bogus headline](#), “Pope Francis Shocks World, Endorses Donald Trump for President, Releases Statement.” Others aren’t so upfront, like the Boston Tribune, which doesn’t provide any information on its mission, staff members or physical location — further signs that maybe this site isn’t a legitimate news organization. The site, in fact, changed its name from Associated Media Coverage, after its work had been debunked by [fact-checking organizations](#).

Snopes.com, which has been writing about viral claims and online rumors since the mid-1990s, maintains [a list of known fake news websites](#), several of which have emerged in the past two years.

Read beyond the headline. If a provocative headline drew your attention, read a little further before you decide to pass along the shocking information. Even in legitimate news stories, the headline doesn’t always tell the whole story. But fake news, particularly efforts to be satirical, can include several revealing signs in the text. That abcnews.com.co story that [we checked](#), headlined “Obama Signs Executive Order Banning The Pledge Of Allegiance In Schools Nationwide,” went on to quote “Fappy the Anti-Masturbation Dolphin.” We have to assume that the many readers who asked us whether this viral rumor was true hadn’t read the full story.

Check the author. Another tell-tale sign of a fake story is often the byline. The pledge of allegiance story on abcnews.com.co was supposedly written by “Jimmy Rustling.” Who is he? Well, his [author page claims](#) he is a “doctor” who won “fourteen Peabody awards and a handful of Pulitzer Prizes.” Pretty impressive, if true. But it’s not. No one by the name of “Rustling” has won a [Pulitzer](#) or [Peabody award](#). The photo accompanying Rustling’s bio is also displayed on another bogus story on a different site, but this time under the byline “[Darius Rubics](#).” The Dubai story was written by “Sorcha Faal, and as reported to her Western Subscribers.” The Pope Francis [story](#) has no byline at all.

What’s the support? Many times these bogus stories will cite official—or official-sounding—sources, but once you look into it, the source doesn’t back up the claim. For instance, the Boston Tribune site wrongly claimed that President Obama’s mother-in-law was going to get a lifetime government pension for having babysat her granddaughters in the White House, citing “the Civil Service Retirement Act” and providing a link. But [the link to a government benefits website](#) doesn’t support the claim at all.

The banning-the-pledge story cites the number of an actual executive order—you can look it up. It doesn’t have anything to do with the Pledge of Allegiance.

Another viral claim [we checked a year ago](#) was a graphic purporting to show crime statistics on the percentage of whites killed by blacks and other murder statistics by race. Then-presidential candidate Donald Trump retweeted it, telling Fox News commentator Bill O’Reilly that it came “from sources that are very credible.” But almost every figure in the image was wrong—FBI crime data is publicly available—and the supposed source given for the data, “Crime Statistics Bureau – San Francisco,” doesn’t exist.

Recently, we’ve received several questions about a fake news story on the [admittedly satirical site](#) Nevada County Scooper, which [wrote](#) that Vice President-elect Mike Pence, in a “surprise announcement,” credited gay conversion therapy for saving his marriage. Clearly such a “surprise announcement” would garner media coverage beyond a website you’ve never heard of. In fact, if you Google this, the first link that comes up is a Snopes.com article revealing that this is fake news.

Check the date. Some false stories aren’t completely fake, but rather distortions of real events. These mendacious claims can take a legitimate news story and twist what it says—or even claim that something that happened long ago is related to current events.

Since Trump was elected president, we've received many inquiries from readers wanting to know whether Ford had moved car production from Mexico to Ohio, because of Trump's election. Readers cited [various blog items](#) that quoted from and linked to a CNN Money article titled "[Ford shifts truck production from Mexico to Ohio.](#)" But that story is from August 2015, clearly not evidence of Ford making any move due to the outcome of the election. (A reminder again to check the support for these claims.)

One deceptive website didn't credit CNN, but instead took CNN's 2015 story and [slapped a new headline and publication date on it](#), claiming, "Since Donald Trump Won The Presidency... Ford Shifts Truck Production From Mexico To Ohio." Not only is that a bogus headline, but the deception involves copyright infringement.

If this Ford story sounds familiar, that's because the CNN article has been distorted before.

In October 2015, Trump [wrongly boasted](#) that Ford had changed its plans to build new plants in Mexico, and instead would build a plant in Ohio. Trump took credit for Ford's alleged change of heart and tweeted a link to a story on a blog called Prntly.com, which cited the CNN Money story. But Ford hadn't changed its plans at all, and Trump deserved no credit.

In fact, the CNN article was about the transfer of some pickup assembly work from Mexico to Ohio, a move that [was announced](#) by Ford in March 2014. The plans for new plants in Mexico were still on, Ford said. "Ford has not spoken with Mr. Trump, nor have we made any changes to our plans," Ford said in a statement.

Is this some kind of joke? Remember, there is such thing as satire. Normally, it's clearly labeled as such, and sometimes it's even funny. [Andy Borowitz](#) has been writing a satirical news column, the Borowitz Report, since 2001, and it has appeared in *The New Yorker* since 2012. But not everyone gets the jokes. We've fielded several questions on whether Borowitz's work is true.

Among the headlines our readers have flagged: "[Putin Appears with Trump in Flurry of Swing-State Rallies](#)" and "[Trump Threatens to Skip Remaining Debates If Hillary Is There.](#)" When we told readers these were satirical columns, some indicated that they suspected the details were far-fetched but wanted to be sure. And then there's the more debatable forms of satire, designed to pull one over on the reader. That "Fappy the Anti-Masturbation Dolphin" story? That's the work of online hoaxer Paul Horner, whose "greatest coup," as [described by The Washington Post in 2014](#), was when Fox News mentioned, as fact, a fake piece titled, "Obama uses own money to open Muslim museum amid government shutdown." Horner [told The Post](#) after the election that he was concerned his hoaxes aimed at Trump supporters may have helped the campaign.

The posts by Horner and others—whether termed satire or simply "fake news"—are designed to encourage clicks, and generate money for the creator through ad revenue. Horner told *The Washington Post* he makes a living off his posts. Asked why his material gets so many views, Horner responded, "They just keep passing stuff around. Nobody fact-checks anything anymore."

Check your biases. We know this is difficult. Confirmation bias leads people to put more stock in information that confirms their beliefs and discount information that doesn't. But the next time you're automatically appalled at some Facebook post concerning, say, a politician you oppose, take a moment to check it out.

Try this simple test: What other stories have been posted to the "news" website that is the source of the story that just popped up in your Facebook feed? You may be predisposed to believe that Obama bought a

house in Dubai, but how about a story on the same site that carries this headline: “[Antarctica ‘Guardians’ Retaliate Against America With Massive New Zealand Earthquake](#).” That, too, was written by the prolific “Sorcha Faal, and as reported to her Western Subscribers.”

We’re encouraged by some of the responses we get from readers, who—like the ones uncertain of Borowitz’s columns—express doubt in the outrageous, and just want to be sure their skepticism is justified. But we are equally discouraged when we see debunked claims gain new life.

We’ve seen the resurgence of a fake quote from Donald Trump since the election—a viral image that circulated last year claims Trump told *People* magazine in 1998: “If I were to run, I’d run as a Republican. They’re the dumbest group of voters in the country. They believe anything on Fox News. I could lie and they’d still eat it up. I bet my numbers would be terrific.” We [found no such quote](#) in *People’s* archives from 1998, or any other year. And a public relations representative for the magazine confirmed that. *People’s* Julie Farin told us in an email last year: “We combed through every Trump story in our archive. We couldn’t find anything remotely like this quote—and no interview at all in 1998.”

Comedian Amy Schumer may have contributed to the revival of this fake meme. She [put it on Instagram](#), adding at the end of a lengthy message, “Yes this quote is fake but it doesn’t matter.”

Consult the experts. We know you’re busy, and some of this debunking takes time. But we get paid to do this kind of work. Between FactCheck.org, Snopes.com, *The Washington Post* Fact Checker and PolitiFact.com, it’s likely at least one has already fact-checked the latest viral claim to pop up in your news feed.

FactCheck.org was among a network of independent fact-checkers who signed [an open letter](#) to Facebook’s Mark Zuckerberg suggesting that Facebook “start an open conversation on the principles that could underpin a more accurate news ecosystem on its News Feed.” We hope that conversation happens, but news readers themselves remain the first line of defense against fake news.

On our [Viral Spiral page](#), we list some of the claims we get asked about the most; all of our Ask FactChecks can be found [here](#). And if you encounter a new claim you’d like us to investigate, email us at editor@factcheck.org.

Reprinted with permission of [FactCheck.org](#).